



# Chambers Global Practice Guides

Definitive global law guides offering  
comparative analysis from top-ranked lawyers

# Alternative Energy & Power 2021

USA

David P Flynn, Thomas F Puchner, Kevin C Blake  
and Dennis W Elsenbeck  
Phillips Lytle LLP

[practiceguides.chambers.com](https://practiceguides.chambers.com)

## Law and Practice

### Contributed by:

David P Flynn, Thomas F Puchner, Kevin C Blake and  
Dennis W Elsenbeck

Phillips Lytle LLP see p.23



## CONTENTS

<b>1. General Structure and Ownership of the Power Industry</b>	<b>p.3</b>	<b>4. Generation</b>	<b>p.13</b>
1.1 Principal Laws Governing the Structure and Ownership of the Power Industry	p.3	4.1 Principal Laws Governing the Construction and Operation of Generation Facilities	p.13
1.2 Principal State-Owned or Investor-Owned Entities	p.4	4.2 Regulatory Process for Obtaining All Approvals to Construct and Operate Generation Facilities	p.15
1.3 Foreign Investment Review Process	p.4	4.3 Terms and Conditions Imposed in Approvals to Construct and Operate Generation Facilities	p.15
1.4 Principal Laws Governing the Sale of Power Industry Assets	p.5	4.4 Proponent's Eminent Domain, Condemnation or Expropriation Rights	p.15
1.5 Central Planning Authority	p.5	4.5 Requirements for Decommissioning	p.15
1.6 Recent Material Changes in Law or Regulation	p.6	<b>5. Transmission</b>	<b>p.15</b>
1.7 Announcements Regarding New Policies	p.6	5.1 Regulation of Construction and Operation of Transmission Lines and Associated Facilities	p.15
1.8 Unique Aspects of the Power Industry	p.7	5.2 Regulation of Transmission Service, Charges and Terms of Service	p.18
<b>2. Market Structure, Supply and Pricing</b>	<b>p.7</b>	<b>6. Distribution</b>	<b>p.19</b>
2.1 Structure of the Wholesale Electricity Market	p.7	6.1 Regulation of Construction and Operation of Electricity Distribution Facilities	p.19
2.2 Imports and Exports of Electricity	p.8	6.2 Regulation of Distribution Service, Charges and Terms of Service	p.21
2.3 Supply Mix for the Entire Market	p.8		
2.4 Principal Laws Governing Market Concentration Limits	p.9		
2.5 Agency Conducting Surveillance to Detect Anti-competitive Behaviour	p.10		
<b>3. Climate Change Laws and Alternative Energy</b>	<b>p.11</b>		
3.1 Principal Climate Change Laws and/or Policies	p.11		
3.2 Principal Laws and/or Policies Relating to the Early Retirement of Carbon-Based Generation	p.12		
3.3 Principal Laws and/or Policies to Encourage the Development of Alternative Energy Sources	p.12		

## 1. GENERAL STRUCTURE AND OWNERSHIP OF THE POWER INDUSTRY

### 1.1 Principal Laws Governing the Structure and Ownership of the Power Industry

The US power industry is comprised of four main segments:

- generation;
- transmission;
- distribution; and
- supply.

No single entity sets the policy for each segment. The US legal system operates according to the concept of shared sovereignty: government power is divided between state institutions and the federal government. Wholesale power markets and interstate transmission systems are generally governed by federal regulation, while retail power markets and distribution systems are generally governed by state regulation. The contours of state and federal jurisdiction are increasingly being blurred with the advent of new technologies and policies in the evolving 21st-century power industry.

#### State Utility Commissions

Individual state utility commissions are the collective architects of the US power sector. They are each uniquely structured, but generally comprised of between three and seven members, who may be elected or appointed, with authority granted by either the state legislature or state constitution to balance policies and preferences related to reliability, affordability, environmental impacts, consumer protection, utility profitability and security. Federal laws and policies governing the power sector are typically implemented by the states and layered with independently generated state laws and policies, all of which

are distilled and implemented by state utility commissions.

There are two broad classes of utilities in the US – private investor-owned utilities (IOUs) and public utilities. Within each class are three general types. Private IOUs include vertically integrated, restructured and retail. Public utilities include municipal, co-operative and miscellaneous. Each class and type has a unique historical structure and legal framework.

#### *Vertically integrated IOUs*

Vertically integrated IOUs are for-profit shareholder-owned entities that take on the functions of generating, transmitting and distributing electricity to the customer and operate within a defined service territory as a regulated monopoly. In restructured states, the generation function has been opened up to competition. Restructured IOUs, therefore, operate primarily as transmission and distribution companies.

In restructured states, a significant share of power is provided by merchant generators, as many IOUs were required or incentivised to sell off most of their generation portfolio. The final category of privately owned utilities is competitive retailers that serve as commodity suppliers and brokers.

#### *Public utilities*

Public utilities are comprised of municipal utilities, co-operatives and uniquely structured miscellaneous entities. Municipal utilities are primarily distribution utilities that purchase wholesale power. Co-operatives are consumer-owned, non-profit entities that can be either distribution-focused businesses that serve member customers, or generation and transmission entities that serve distribution co-operatives. The final category of public utilities includes those that are the product of state and/or federal statute to provide utility services to a particular district.

## History

Integrated IOUs and municipal utilities were the first to emerge in the late 1800s. As early utility competition resulted in the construction of parallel redundant power lines and infrastructure, prices plummeted and many utilities became bankrupt. Those that remained were granted a defined geographical service territory in which they could operate as a monopoly, in exchange for government regulation under what is known as the “regulatory compact”.

In the 1930s, President Franklin D Roosevelt enacted a series of economic measures to counteract the effects of the Great Depression (the “New Deal”), which included, among other things, passage of the Federal Power Act of 1935 (FPA), the Rural Electrification Act of 1936 (REA), and the creation of certain federally authorised public utilities. The FPA established jurisdictional boundaries between the federal government, which regulates wholesale sales and interstate transmission, and the states, which exercise authority through state utility commissions that oversee retail sales and distribution infrastructure. To promote electrification of under-served rural areas, the REA provided funding to a new class of utility – publicly owned co-operatives.

## Regulations

The Public Utilities Regulatory Power Act of 1978 (PURPA), created in response to the 1970s’ energy crisis, encouraged conservation and created a market for non-utility power producers by requiring utilities, in certain circumstances, to purchase power generated by qualifying facilities (QFs). PURPA was implemented by each state, resulting in a range of regulatory regimes across the country. PURPA paved the way for a series of Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) orders which promoted open access to transmission facilities. Beginning in the 1990s, a number of states further deregulated the vertically integrated utility sector such that 16 states

and the District of Columbia now have active retail choice programmes.

The Energy Policy Act of 2005 (EPAAct) represents one of the most significant pieces of federal legislation in the energy sector since the New Deal. It grants FERC enhanced authority to prevent market manipulation and abuse, assess extraordinary civil penalties, approve siting of major transmission projects, and implement reliability standards.

## 1.2 Principal State-Owned or Investor-Owned Entities

The US electricity industry is comprised of over 3,000 electricity providers, which include over 2,000 publicly owned utilities, over 800 co-operatives, nearly 200 IOUs and over 200 power marketers. The largest vertically integrated public utility holding companies include Duke, Southern Company, NextEra, Entergy, Dominion and Xcel. The largest restructured public utility holding companies include PG&E, Exelon, Edison International, Consolidated Edison, First Energy, National Grid and Northeast Utilities. The largest retailers include AEP, NRG, EFH, Exelon and ConEd. The largest public power systems, based on net generation, are the New York Power Authority, the Salt River Project and CPS Energy.

- A list of [IOUS](#).
- A list of [large independent power producers and marketers](#).
- A list of [co-operatives](#).
- A list of [public power entities](#).

## 1.3 Foreign Investment Review Process

While US utilities or utility holding companies may have foreign ownership, and the USA maintains – in principle – an “open investment” policy, that policy has been tempered by concerns about national security. The 1988 Exon-Florio Amendment to the Defense Protection Act

of 1950 authorises the president of the United States, through the inter-agency Committee on Foreign Investment (CFIUS), to review and restrict foreign investments that may impact national security.

The Foreign Investment and National Security Act of 2007 (FISIA) enhances the Exon-Florio Amendment by broadly defining the type of infrastructure transactions covered and adding more stringent rules pertaining to the review and investigation of foreign investments. In 2018, Congress enacted the Foreign Investment Risk Review Modernization Act (FIRRMA), which expands the scope of transactions covered under CFIUS's jurisdiction.

## 1.4 Principal Laws Governing the Sale of Power Industry Assets

The sale of generation, transmission and distribution system assets, as well as the merger of industry entities generally requires federal and state approval. At the federal level, the sale, lease or disposition of facilities valued at over USD10 million under FERC's jurisdiction that are used for the transmission or sale of electrical energy in interstate commerce and generation assets making wholesale sales require FERC approval under Section 203 of the FPA. FERC approval is also required to effectuate mergers, acquisitions, or change in control of jurisdictional facilities. In examining such transactions, FERC reviews the effect on competition, rates, cross-subsidisation and whether the transaction is consistent with the public interest.

Additional requirements may apply to transactions involving nuclear generation facilities, which require NRC approval to effectuate an asset transfer. At the state level, state utility commissions are often required to approve acquisition or divestiture of power assets.

## 1.5 Central Planning Authority

The USA does not have a central planning authority that oversees and administers the electricity supply and development of transmission facilities. The USA is broadly divided into three electricity grids – the Eastern Interconnection, Western Interconnection and the Electric Reliability Council of Texas. Across those three grids are seven competitive wholesale power markets operated by the following FERC-regulated operators which provide non-discriminatory access to the transmission network:

- the New York ISO;
- the California ISO;
- the Electric Reliability Council of Texas;
- New England ISO;
- PJM Interconnection;
- Southwest Power Pool; and
- the Midcontinent ISO.

These seven RTOs/ISOs (collectively, Regional System Operators or RSOs) serve two thirds of the USA. Certain states in the South, Mountain West and Northwest did not join an RSO and continue to operate independently. RSOs are responsible for maintaining operation of the grid, they ensure demand meets supply through capacity auctions and market mechanisms, and they are governed by FERC tariffs, rules and regulations.

Neither FERC, nor RSOs, are responsible for making resource mix decisions, as such authority lies solely with each state. Some states require utilities to perform integrated resource planning and demonstrate how utility infrastructure and investment will meet the needs of customers. Other states impose legislation and/or regulation to mandate or incentivise a certain resource adequacy mix.

## 1.6 Recent Material Changes in Law or Regulation

Material changes in law or regulation occur frequently at the state level, particularly with respect to the role of decentralised, alternative energy resources. Nineteen states and territories have passed legislation or taken executive action to achieve 100% renewable energy and/or zero-GHG emissions in either the power sector or economy wide, each with distinct timelines, definitions, and structures.

### Federal Level

At the federal level, there have been several recent decisions, orders and regulations that impact the power industry. In July 2020, the DC Circuit reaffirmed FERC's authority under the FPA to regulate the participation of distribution-level energy storage resources in wholesale markets without intruding on state authority over local distribution systems (*National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners v FERC*, No 19-1142, slip op (DC Cir July 10, 2020)). Building on that authority, FERC adopted Order 2222 in September 2020 which removes barriers to the participation of distributed energy resources (DERs) in energy, capacity, and ancillary markets managed by RSOs. Order 2222 sets the foundation for enabling groups of diverse, distribution-level and/or behind-the-meter resources (eg, electric vehicles, storage, efficiency, demand response) to be aggregated as a cohesive resource that would compete with conventional generation.

In November 2020, FERC issued Order 872-A, which clarified certain components of its landmark Order 872, first issued in July 2020, which updates rules that govern QFs under PURPA. Among other things, Order 872-A clarified the use of tiered avoided cost rates to promote renewable energy development, relaxed certain recertification requirements for QFs, and established rules for determining whether facilities are

presumed to be at the same site for purposes of establishing whether they exceed the 80 MW cap for QFs.

## 1.7 Announcements Regarding New Policies

### President Biden's Executive Order on Climate

In January 2021, President Biden issued an executive order announcing and committing to domestic and foreign policy action to combat climate change. Among other things, the executive order states that the United States will rejoin the Paris Agreement, host a Leaders' Climate Summit, reconvene the Major Economics Forum on Energy and Climate, ratify the Kigali Amendment to the Montreal Protocol, and establish a White House Office of Domestic Climate Policy to oversee all domestic climate policy decisions in co-ordination with a Climate Change Task Force comprised of cabinet secretaries dedicated to a government-wide approach to climate change initiatives.

### President Biden's American Jobs Plan

In March 2021, President Biden proposed a USD2 trillion American Jobs Plan to modernise the country's infrastructure – securing and upgrading the electricity grid, revitalising American manufacturing, and repairing roads, bridges, and ports. The proposal includes a wide range of policies, including a "direct pay" option that could incentivise development of renewable energy projects by allowing developers to more easily monetise tax credits. The American Jobs Plan is expected to be fiercely negotiated and distilled into one or more pieces of legislation which will likely include clean energy investments, programmes, and initiatives that could result in material changes to the power industry.

### President Biden's Proposed 2022 Budget

President Biden's proposed 2022 budget calls for a USD36 billion investment in climate and clean energy-related measures, including sig-

nificant funding for research and development programmes, the creation of a new Advanced Research Projects Agency for Climate (ARPA-C), energy storage and transmission projects, energy retrofits for homes, schools, and federal buildings, and expanding electric vehicle charging infrastructure. The proposed budget serves as a blueprint for the administration's policy objectives over the coming years.

## 1.8 Unique Aspects of the Power Industry

Investors and market participants should consider the powerful role played by state utility commissions in the architecture and development of the US power industry – particularly as technology applications trend towards smaller-scale DERs and locational value-based pricing mechanisms.

## 2. MARKET STRUCTURE, SUPPLY AND PRICING

### 2.1 Structure of the Wholesale Electricity Market

#### The Role of FERC

The wholesale electricity market is regulated by FERC, an independent regulatory agency within the US Department of Energy (DOE), which implements the FPA, Natural Gas Act (NGA), Natural Gas Policy Act (NGPA) and EPCRA, among other statutes. According to Section 201 of the FPA, the wholesale market encompasses all sales of electrical energy made to any person for resale (16 U.S.C. Section 824). The FPA requires that all rates for wholesale sales of electrical energy in interstate commerce be just and reasonable and not unduly discriminatory or preferential.

FERC oversees three methods for setting wholesale rates.

- First, Section 205 of the FPA, codified at 16 U.S.C. Section 824(d), requires public utilities to file their rates with FERC.
- Second, Section 206 of the FPA, codified at 16 U.S.C. Section 824(e), empowers FERC, upon complaint or its own investigation, to fix a new rate based on the cost of service when it determines that the existing rate is not just and reasonable, or is unduly discriminatory or preferential.
- A third method of rate-setting in wholesale markets is by an avoided cost under PURPA. Under PURPA, certain co-generation and small power production facilities that meet specific operating and ownership standards may become QFs, and their power output must be purchased by an electricity utility. An avoided cost is the cost of the power purchased from the qualifying facility that is lower than the cost of the energy that the buying utility would generate itself or purchase from another source. QFs are determined by FERC and are commonly limited to facilities whose primary energy source is wind, hydro, solar, biomass, thermal or waste resources.

Wholesale rates can also be set by the marketplace through bilateral contracts or power purchase agreements. Before an entity can make sales at such market-based rates, they must obtain market-based rate authority from FERC. FERC will review wholesale contracts to ensure that there is adequate competition in the wholesale market guaranteeing that contracts were freely negotiated. FERC also engages in oversight over wholesale markets by regulating the terms and conditions of wholesale market sales.

### ***RSOs and areas outside a regional operating authority***

The US wholesale market is comprised of seven regional, centralised RSOs, and a patchwork of decentralised geographic areas that operate outside of a defined, regional operating authority.

FERC has encouraged the creation of RSOs, which have operational control, but not ownership, of transmission assets necessary to administer wholesale markets. RSOs are required to, among other things, maintain operation of the grid, and are subject to enforcement by the North American Electric Reliability Corporation (NERC), which is the FERC-designated electricity reliability organisation of the US. The seven RSOs serve two thirds of the USA. Certain states in the South, Mountain West and Northwest did not join an RSO and continue to operate independently through individual utility control areas where wholesale sales are made on a competitive basis primarily by power purchase agreements and bilateral contracts. The utilities in these control areas remain subject to certain aspects of FERC's jurisdiction, and individual control area operators must co-ordinate among themselves to ensure region-wide service reliability. Certain service jurisdictions located in regions not within RSO regions have recently joined a quasi-RSO wholesale market called the Energy Imbalance Market.

### ***Locational marginal pricing***

In the seven RSO regions, wholesale prices are set by the centralised market using locational marginal pricing (LMP). LMP sets the marginal cost of energy for certain locations (or nodes) based on the operational characteristics of the nodal transmission system itself, incorporating the financial value of congestion, energy losses and the actual energy being transmitted. Security-constrained economic dispatch ensures least-cost energy is provided to each

node based on operational, reserve and transmission constraints to address reliability and system needs.

### ***Competitive auctions***

RSOs also run capacity markets outside the traditional wholesale energy market to ensure reliable service through competitive auctions. In capacity markets, generators will submit bids one year or more in advance to be paid for their willingness to provide electricity at any time within the year to meet peak demand. Certain sales may be made on a cost-of-service basis in limited circumstances where competition does not provide adequate price signals.

## **2.2 Imports and Exports of Electricity**

Transmission of electricity to a foreign country is regulated by FERC under Section 202(e) of the FPA (16 U.S.C. Section 824a(e)). Upon application, FERC may grant an order to authorise the requested exportation of electrical energy. The Department of Energy has authority over emergency authorisations of electricity transmission (16 U.S.C. Section 824a(c)).

Electricity imported from a foreign country is not regulated by FERC or the Department of Energy, but by the state within which the importing facility is located (16 U.S.C. Section 824a(f)).

In 2020, the US imported a combined total of 61 million MWh (megawatt hours) and exported 14 million MWh of electricity between Canada and Mexico.

## **2.3 Supply Mix for the Entire Market**

The EIA estimates that, in 2020, approximately 4,009 billion kilowatt hours (kWh) of electricity was generated by utility-scale power plants of at least 1 MW in capacity, of which approximately 60% came from fossil fuels, with 20% from nuclear energy and 20% from renewable energy sources. An additional 42 billion kWh was gener-

ated in 2020 by small-scale solar photovoltaic systems under 1 MW capacity. The following is the relative contribution of each utility-scale fuel source to US electricity generation (see [EIA](#)):

- natural gas – 40.3%;
- coal – 19.3%;
- nuclear – 19.7%; and
- renewable energy (total) – 19.8% (comprised of 8.4% wind, 7.3% hydropower, 2.3% solar, 1.4% biomass, 0.4% geothermal).

According to the EIA's 2021 Annual Energy Outlook, renewable energy is forecast to account for 42% of electricity generation by 2050.

## 2.4 Principal Laws Governing Market Concentration Limits

### Role of FERC

The wholesale market concentration of electricity supply is regulated by a number of federal government agencies, principally FERC. FERC ensures competition in wholesale markets through, among other things, screening and authorising market participants that seek to make wholesale sales of energy, capacity and ancillary services at market-based rates (MBR). Negotiated rates will only be upheld if neither party has market power – that is, the ability of one party to set prices above competitive rates due to their unilateral or co-ordinated ability to leverage undue influence on the market.

### MBR authorisation

Market participants seeking MBR authorisation must file an application and receive approval from FERC, which may be granted if the applicant can demonstrate that it lacks, or has adequately mitigated, horizontal and vertical market power. FERC has adopted two screens for determining whether a party has horizontal market power: a pivotal supplier screen and a market share screen.

Applicants that fail one or both screens are presumed to have significant market power, but may rebut that presumption. FERC Order 861 recently revised the requirements applicable to MBR sellers in certain RSO markets, allowing a seller to forego submittal of indicative screens by indicating compliance with FERC-approved market monitoring measures adopted by RSOs.

Market-based rate sellers must also demonstrate that they do not have vertical market power. FERC has determined that when an applicant owns, operates or controls transmission facilities, a FERC-approved Open Access Transmission Tariff (OATT) adequately mitigates vertical market power. As such, a market-based rate applicant must either be bound by a FERC-approved OATT or receive a waiver of the OATT requirement.

### FERC's oversight of M&A

FERC also regulates wholesale market concentration by overseeing mergers and acquisitions of public utilities to ensure that the merger's effect on competition, rates, regulation and cross-subsidisation is consistent with the public interest.

### FERC's use of the HHI and MPS

FERC relies on the Herfindahl-Hirschman Index (HHI) – a commonly accepted measure of market concentration – to determine whether the proposed transaction will increase market concentration to exceed the relevant market's threshold concentration levels. FERC uses the HHI and its Merger Policy Statement (MPS), issued in 1996, to analyse the transaction. The MPS articulates methods for further computing market concentration, identifies safe-harbour concentration levels and outlines the methods to be undertaken if a transaction failed either screen.

**Role of Other Bodies**

Energy industry mergers and acquisitions are also subject to review by the Department of Justice (DOJ) and the Federal Trade Commission (FTC). While FERC's review of mergers and acquisitions is a relatively straightforward public interest inquiry, the DOJ and FTC will typically follow their 2010 Horizontal Merger Guidelines (HMG) for a more complex analysis. DOJ and FTC authorisation may still be required upon FERC's approval of a transaction.

State utility commissions may also have jurisdiction to review public utility merger and acquisition transactions. However, instead of focusing on the wholesale market, their review focuses on the impact on retail rates and the public interest.

**2.5 Agency Conducting Surveillance to Detect Anti-competitive Behaviour****The EAct**

The EAct significantly augmented FERC's authority to prohibit market manipulation, anti-competitive behaviour, and fraud. FERC remains the primary authority overseeing competition in the wholesale electricity markets, while a variety of other federal agencies, such as the FTC or DOJ, may also have jurisdiction over electricity market participants, particularly over antitrust violations and criminal behaviour, as part of their generalised authority to regulate anti-competitive behaviour across a variety of market sectors.

In the EAct, Congress enhanced and added sections to the FPA, NGA and NGPA, which prohibit manipulative or deceptive practices, and provided for maximum civil penalties of USD1 million per day, per violation of rules, regulations and orders issued under those acts. It also expanded FERC's authority with respect to anti-competitive behaviour by expressly prohibiting fraudulent or manipulative acts by "any entity" in the sale or purchase of electrical energy or the sale or purchase of transmission services

– not merely entities providing service under FERC-approved, market-based rate authority (16 U.S.C. Section 824v).

**Anti-Manipulation Rule**

FERC implemented its authority under the EAct by promulgating the Anti-Manipulation Rule in Order No 670 in 2006. The Anti-Manipulation Rule broadly defines market manipulation to include conduct such as:

- using or employing any device, scheme or artifice to defraud;
- making untrue statements or omitting to state material facts; or
- engaging in any act, practice or course of business that would operate as fraud or deceit upon another entity (16 U.S.C. Section 824v).

**Office of Enforcement**

For market surveillance and enforcement, FERC has an Office of Enforcement (OE), which is comprised of scientists, engineers, attorneys, auditors, financial analysts and energy analysts. Each division of OE oversees a variety of functions, including ensuring compliance from market participants, initiating and executing investigations, providing warning of vulnerable market conditions, maintaining an Enforcement Hotline to informally resolve disputes, and advising FERC on enforcement and compliance issues.

**RSO Market Monitoring Plans**

Each RSO has Market Monitoring Plans, which implement a variety of activities designed to assess and improve wholesale electricity market competition. Similar to the functions of FERC's OE, RSO monitoring system functions include monitoring and ensuring compliance with market rules and procedures; gathering data; evaluating and reporting on market performance; proposing rule changes to improve market operation and performance; and, in some cases, employ-

ing mitigation measures and sanctions where authorised.

## 3. CLIMATE CHANGE LAWS AND ALTERNATIVE ENERGY

### 3.1 Principal Climate Change Laws and/or Policies

While the US lacks a unified comprehensive federal approach to climate change, a number of federal and state laws and programmes are directed at limiting carbon emissions and advancing clean energy deployment. Holistic, market-based approaches to address climate change at the federal level have been debated for decades, but have not been adopted.

#### Federal Laws Regulating Climate Change and the Power Industry

In the US, Congress has the authority to address climate change through legislation and appropriation of funds, while the executive branch implements existing law through regulation and development of programmes. The primary federal laws regulating aspects of climate change and the power industry are the [Clean Air Act \(CAA\)](#) (42 U.S.C. Section 7401), the [EPA Act](#), and the [Energy Independence and Security Act](#) (42 U.S.C. Section 152).

The CAA was enacted by Congress to protect public health and welfare from a number of common air pollutants that come from a variety of pollution sources, such as industrial manufacturing, vehicles and electricity consumption. The CAA requires the EPA to implement rules and regulations to reduce the emission of such air pollutants, including carbon dioxide and methane. The EPA Act regulates energy production in the USA, including renewable energy, energy efficiency, nuclear energy and security matters, oil and gas, and electricity. Significantly, the

EPA Act provides tax incentives and loan guarantees on infrastructure development for particular energy sources.

#### *The Energy Independence and Security Act*

The Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007 was enacted with the goal of improving vehicle fuel economy and reducing US petroleum dependence by increasing renewable energy fuel sources. Among other things, the Energy Independence and Security Act:

- provides for funding research in renewable energy and carbon capture technologies;
- implements a biomass fuel standard; and
- mandates an increase in energy efficiency of new buildings, products and vehicles.

#### State Legislation Addressing Climate Change

In the absence of a comprehensive federal climate change policy, a number of individual states have enacted legislation aimed at curbing greenhouse gas emissions and advancing clean energy deployment. Over 30 states have adopted legislation with the goal of addressing climate change. While each state takes a different approach, many have generally taken a market-based or performance-standard approach.

Current state legislation includes greenhouse gas emission targets, carbon pricing, electricity portfolio standards, energy efficiency and decoupling policies, and transportation policies such as low-carbon fuel standards. Some states have grouped together in co-operative agreements, such as the Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative (RGGI), wherein carbon emissions from fossil power plants are capped and traded in regional carbon allowance markets.

#### International Agreements

In addition to state and federal regulations affecting climate change, the US has signed a number of international agreements that seek to address

climate change. The most recent is the [Paris Agreement of 2015](#) (Paris Agreement). Pursuant to the Paris Agreement, the US set targets to reduce greenhouse gas emissions to 17% below 2005 greenhouse gas levels by 2020, and 28% below 2005 levels by 2025.

### **3.2 Principal Laws and/or Policies Relating to the Early Retirement of Carbon-Based Generation**

In 2015, the Obama administration's EPA promulgated the CPP, which leveraged the EPA's authority under the CAA to establish greenhouse gas emission reduction targets for each state and would have required each state to promulgate a state-specific plan to meet its target. In June 2019, the EPA, under the Trump administration, replaced the CPP with a narrower plan called the Affordable Clean Energy (ACE) rule which recommended efficiency improvements for individual power plants. In January 2021, the DC Circuit vacated the ACE rule and remanded to the EPA for further proceedings. President Biden has pledged to achieve a carbon-neutral power sector by 2035 and a net-zero carbon economy by 2050. See **1.7 Announcements Regarding New Policies**.

#### **RGGI Model Rule**

At the state level, various forms of legislation have been implemented to address carbon emissions and encourage the early retirement of carbon-based generation. A number of states have entered into a RGGI, a market-based initiative to cap and reduce the power sector's carbon emissions. Based on the RGGI Model Rule, each participating state has a Budget Trading Program comprised of carbon emission limits and allowance auctions. In 2021, Virginia joined RGGI as its newest member, and Pennsylvania is actively seeking to join RGGI by 2022.

In RGGI states, fossil-fuel-fired electrical generators that have a capacity of 25 MW or greater

must hold allowances equal to that of their carbon emissions for a three-year period. Each year, the carbon emission allowance cap is reduced by 3% until 2020. Between 2021 and 2030, the RGGI cap will reduce by 30% compared to 2020. The proceeds from allowance auctions are invested in energy efficiency and renewable energy resources.

#### **DSM and NWA Programmes**

Another market-based state legislative approach to reducing carbon emissions is demand-side management (DSM) and/or non-wires alternatives (NWA) programmes. These programmes are designed to encourage electrical utility consumers to modify their electricity consumption patterns. DSM can reduce peak demand and smooth load curves to decrease reliance on fossil-fuel-fired electrical generators, while NWAs can defer or replace the need for traditional utility investments.

#### **RSO Rules**

Additionally, RSOs have rules regarding the retirement of generating facilities. Facilities necessary for reliability are not retired before the loss of electrical energy can be replaced. There are several considerations that go into retiring a generation facility, including the age of the generating unit, the capital and operating costs, market conditions, environmental restrictions and compliance costs.

### **3.3 Principal Laws and/or Policies to Encourage the Development of Alternative Energy Sources**

#### **Federal Incentives**

##### *ITC and PTC*

The most significant federal incentives that encourage alternative energy development are the ITC and PTC. The ITC allows a taxpayer to deduct a percentage of the installation cost from federal income taxes, while the PTC is structured as a per-kilowatt-hour tax credit based on the

amount of electricity generated. In December 2020, Congress passed the Considered Appropriations Act of 2021 which extends the ITC at a rate of 26% for systems commencing construction in 2020–2022; 22% for systems commencing construction in 2023; and 10% for systems commencing construction after 2024. The PTC for onshore and offshore wind projects has been extended at a 60% rate for projects that start construction by the end of 2021, and a new standalone 30% ITC was created for offshore wind projects that begin construction prior to 2026.

## State Incentives

### *Renewable Energy Portfolio Standards*

Another significant driver of renewable energy deployment are state-enacted Renewable Energy Portfolio Standards (RPS), variations of which have been implemented by 36 states plus the District of Columbia, for example, see [New York](#), [California](#), and [Vermont](#). An RPS is a state mandate requiring that electricity suppliers provide customers with a minimum percentage of electricity from renewable energy. The elements of an RPS programme vary by state as to which resources are eligible, how retail sales are measured, which types of utilities are subject to the mandate, whether there are cost caps to limit customer bill impact, and so on.

### *ZEC programmes*

Utilities subject to RPS mandates may either build qualifying renewable energy generation, purchase RECs, or pay alternative compliance payments and/or penalties. A growing number of states have recently enacted legislation creating ZEC programmes in which subsidies are provided to non-economic nuclear generation units. While structurally different depending on the state, ZEC programmes are generally closed markets in which ZECs are assigned to particular nuclear generating facilities to provide a stable income stream rather than to incentivise build-out of alternative energy resources.

### *PACE programmes*

Property-assessed clean energy (PACE) programmes are another model for innovative renewable energy financing. PACE programmes are created by cities or counties that designate a financing district, whereby property owners may voluntarily sign up for financing to install energy projects or make renewable energy improvements on their property.

## 4. GENERATION

### 4.1 Principal Laws Governing the Construction and Operation of Generation Facilities

The system of laws applicable to the construction and operation of generation facilities varies depending on the type of facility and its location. For the purposes of this discussion, distinction is drawn between offshore facilities and onshore facilities.

#### *Onshore Generation Facilities*

State law is the primary authority for the construction and operation of onshore generation facilities. Applicable laws generally take the form of:

- public utility law regulatory authorities;
- local/state permitting laws; and
- state environmental review laws.

In the first category, some states require that electricity generating facilities obtain a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity (CPCN) or similar approval for generating facilities prior to construction and operation under the state's public utility laws.

In the second category, local permitting may be required from the municipality where a facility will be sited in the form of a special use permit or similar approval under local zoning laws. In some

states, permitting is governed by a centralised (“one-stop”) siting board that may supersede some or all local permitting authorities.

In the third category, various state environmental review acts (or mini-NEPAs) apply, which generally resemble the federal NEPA. If a federal permit is involved and the project may result in discharge into waters of the USA, a Clean Water Act (CWA) Section 401 Water Quality Certification will be necessary.

Projects may also implicate federal authority. Specifically, where onshore projects involve federal lands, authorisation from the United States Department of Interior (DOI) [Bureau of Land Management](#) (BLM) or United States Forest Service may be required. Depending on potential impacts, involvement by various consulting agencies may be necessary under the Endangered Species Act, Migratory Bird Treaty Act, Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act, and the CWA. Where federal action is involved, environmental review under [NEPA](#) will also be necessary.

### **Offshore Generation Facilities**

Offshore generation facilities are routinely being proposed in the offshore areas of coastal states throughout the country. The Block Island Wind Farm – the country’s first offshore wind farm – began operating off Rhode Island in 2016. The applicable laws for offshore facilities can be divided based on whether they are proposed for federal waters or state waters.

Pursuant to the Submerged Lands Act of 1953, 43 U.S.C. Section 1301 et seq, states regulate coastal waters in the areas within three miles from shore. Federal regulatory authority is applied beyond that point. Section 388 of the EPA Act gave the US Secretary of the Interior authority over offshore renewable energy facilities (including all energy resources other than

oil and gas and minerals) in federal waters. In general, the DOI Bureau of Ocean Energy Management (BOEM) issues leases, easements and rights of way for renewable energy development in federal waters pursuant to its regulations.

Projects also typically require approval from the United States Army Corps of Engineers under Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) (obstructions to navigation in “navigable waters”) and Section 404 of the CWA (discharge of dredged or fill material). As with onshore facilities, offshore federal actions that may affect the environment require compliance with NEPA.

For offshore facilities within state jurisdiction, construction and operation of renewable generation projects is governed by applicable state laws, including a state’s mini NEPA. State laws may also provide for the necessary easement, lease or other right to use state-owned land underwater. On the federal side, such projects require federal RHA Section 10/CWA Section 404 permitting (due to installation of facilities in navigable waters), which will also trigger compliance with NEPA. Finally, a CWA Section 401 State Water Quality Certificate will be needed for projects that require RHA Section 10/CWA Section 404 permits.

For federal projects requiring an environmental impact statement under NEPA, several recent federal streamlining provisions may apply. Executive Order 13807 creates a framework for “One Federal Decision” and sets an average timeframe of not more than two years for an EIS process. DOI Secretarial Order 3355, issued in response to Executive Order 13807, sets a page limit of 150 pages (300 for complex projects) and a one-year timeline for EISs. Both orders are broadly applicable to “infrastructure projects”, which include renewable energy.

## 4.2 Regulatory Process for Obtaining All Approvals to Construct and Operate Generation Facilities

As noted, local, state and federal approvals may be required to construct and operate electrical generation facilities. In many states, the applicant will need a CPCN or its equivalent from the state utility commission. As part of the CPCN proceeding, or as a separate process, an applicant will likely be subject to review by a multitude of state agencies and authorities, including the relevant counties and municipalities, drainage districts, state natural and environmental agencies, transportation authorities and cultural heritage preservation offices.

## 4.3 Terms and Conditions Imposed in Approvals to Construct and Operate Generation Facilities

State, local and federal agency approval of generation facilities is contingent upon the terms and conditions as determined by the applicable agencies in the review process. A company seeking a generation facility permit must undergo review by numerous authorities, which may include local, state and federal agencies/authorities. During such review, the applicable authorities often condition their approvals on certain modifications or considerations intended to make the proposed project compliant with the relevant permitting standards, or otherwise reduce impacts that are of concern to the regulators.

## 4.4 Proponent's Eminent Domain, Condemnation or Expropriation Rights

A CPCN issued by a state public utility commission may include eminent domain rights for the facility developer under terms and conditions specific to that state and its relevant laws. To act on their eminent domain authority, the developer must provide the landowner with just compensation based on the fair market value of the

property being condemned, on the date that the eminent domain is exercised.

## 4.5 Requirements for Decommissioning

Decommissioning is often included as part of the terms and conditions of approval for generation facilities. The specifics of such requirements and how they are implemented are highly dependent on the local, state or federal authorities involved, and their unique practices. Permitting authorities may require formal decommissioning plans and financial security.

In some cases, decommissioning requirements are applied based on discretionary approval conditions, while in other cases, specific legal requirements for decommissioning may be derived from applicable laws or regulations.

# 5. TRANSMISSION

## 5.1 Regulation of Construction and Operation of Transmission Lines and Associated Facilities

### 5.1.1 Principal Laws Governing the Construction and Operation of Transmission Facilities

The US transmission system is comprised of facilities that are privately, publicly, federally or co-operatively owned. While individual states have primary authority over siting and construction of electrical transmission lines and their associated facilities, federal authorities are involved when a project is located on federal lands, spans multiple states or lies in certain designated areas.

The EPA Act enhanced co-ordination and communication among federal agencies with authority to site electrical transmission facilities by, among other things, directing the DOE to co-ordinate all the federal authorisations and related environ-

mental reviews needed for siting interstate electrical transmission projects – EPA Act 2005 Section 1221(a), which added Section 216(h) to the FPA, codified at 16 US Code Section 824p. The DOE has authority to identify certain National Interest Electric Transmission Corridors, within which FERC has authority in certain circumstances to grant permits for transmission facility applications. FERC may also grant transmission facility permits when it finds that a state does not have authority to do so, the state commission withholds approval for more than a year after filing, or the facilities to be authorised will provide electrical energy transmission in interstate commerce.

### 5.1.2 Regulatory Process for Obtaining Approvals to Construct and Operate Transmission Facilities

Both state and federal certifications and approvals are generally required to construct and operate electrical transmission facilities.

Some states may have a pre-filing consultation requirement designed to co-ordinate the review process across multiple agencies. Ultimately, the applicant will generally need to obtain a CPCN, or an equivalent certificate, from the state utility commission. As part of the CPCN proceeding, or as a separate process, an applicant will likely be subject to review by a multitude of state agencies and authorities, including the relevant counties and municipalities, drainage districts, state natural resource and environmental agencies, transportation authorities and cultural heritage preservation offices.

#### Approval from Federal Agencies

In addition to state permits and authorisations, an applicant will likely need to obtain approval from several federal agencies, including the US Army Corps of Engineers, the Federal Aviation Administration, the US Fish and Wildlife Service, the Department of Agriculture, the Department of Commerce, the Department of Defense, the

DOE, the EPA, the Council on Environmental Quality, the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation, the DOI and FERC. Eight of these federal agencies entered into a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) in October 2009 to improve co-ordination among project applicants, federal agencies, and states and tribes involved in the siting and permitting process. The MOU designates a “lead agency” as a single point of contact, which will co-ordinate all federal reviews necessary for the approval of the development and siting of the proposed facilities. For more information, see the [Department of Energy’s Office of Electricity](#).

#### Review by FERC

When a company’s permit application is subject to review by FERC, the company must meet with FERC’s Director of Energy Projects to initiate the pre-filing review process. Upon approval from the Director, FERC will issue a notice of the pre-filing process and the company must implement a Public Participation Plan to identify how it intends to communicate with stakeholders and disseminate information to the public.

Once the company files a complete application, FERC will review comments and recommendations from involved entities and individuals, hold public meetings and technical conferences, and clarify project-related issues. FERC is required to act on an application within one year of the filing date. In addition, FERC will issue a Notice of Intent (NOI) to prepare an environmental assessment (EA) or environmental impact statement (EIS).

The NOI is sent to federal agencies, state and local agencies, and any entity or individual that may be affected by the transmission facilities, seeking comments from interested parties. After the comment period, FERC will prepare an EA or EIS to outline its findings and recommendations. FERC will address the comments in the EA

or EIS, or in the final order granting or denying the application. The extent of the federal review process will depend on a number of factors, including the size and location of the project and the degree of co-ordination between the federal agencies and the applicant.

### 5.1.3 Terms and Conditions Imposed in Approvals to Construct and Operate Transmission Facilities

State, local and federal agency approval of transmission facilities is contingent upon the terms and conditions as determined by the applicable agencies in the review process. As discussed previously, a company seeking a transmission facilities permit must undergo review by numerous authorities, both state and federal. During such review, the applicable authority will make comments and recommendations and will condition its approval on certain modifications or considerations that will make the proposed project compliant with the relevant safety, environmental, engineering and zoning standards.

### 5.1.4 Proponent's Eminent Domain, Condemnation or Expropriation Rights

A CPCN (or its equivalent) issued by a state public utility commission may include eminent domain rights to the transmission facility developer under terms and conditions specific to that state. To act on their eminent domain authority, the developer must provide the landowner with just compensation based on the fair market value of the property being condemned on the date that the eminent domain is exercised.

If applicable state law limits a developer's eminent domain authority, the federal authority overseeing the eminent domain proceeding is equally constrained (FERC Order No 689, Sections 225–227).

On the federal level, if a facility project is granted a permit by FERC or the DOE, the transmission facility developer will have eminent domain authority (16 U.S.C. Section 824p). The eminent domain authority can only be used for the permitted facilities.

The developer should refer the landowner to the relevant state agency or state Attorney General and should explain to the landowner that they have the right to acquire the property, or property rights, by eminent domain under FPA Section 216(e).

### 5.1.5 Transmission Service Monopoly Rights

Under federal law, transmission entities do not have monopoly rights to provide transmission service within a specific geographic area. While historically transmission lines were owned by private, vertically integrated entities, FERC required transmission services to be unbundled and provided pursuant to each utility's FERC-approved OATT, which sets forth the terms and conditions of using the transmission system (FERC Order Nos 888, 889, 890).

In 2011, FERC Order No 1000 built upon Order 890 to increase transmission development by requiring public utility transmission providers to participate in a regional transmission planning process to generate regional transmission plans.

While federal law does not provide for monopoly transmission rights, state law and utility commission regulation may provide for such rights under terms and conditions that will vary by state.

## 5.2 Regulation of Transmission Service, Charges and Terms of Service

### 5.2.1 Principal Laws Governing the Provision of Transmission Service, Regulation of Transmission Charges and Terms of Service

Pursuant to the FPA, FERC has exclusive jurisdiction over the transmission of electrical energy in interstate commerce, the sale of electrical energy at wholesale in interstate commerce, and over all facilities for such transmission or sale of electrical energy. This jurisdiction is conferred by Section 201 of the FPA, and the principal laws of such jurisdiction are encoded at 16 U.S.C. Section 824, 824(d), and 824(e). Utilities providing transmission service subject to FERC's jurisdiction must abide by an OATT, which sets forth non-discriminatory rates for transmission and ancillary services.

Transmission providers must publish service, rates and available capacity, as well as rules and standards related to their transmission services on the Open Access Same-Time Information System (OASIS). FERC has authority to review and ensure rates and terms of transmission service are just and reasonable and not unduly discriminatory or preferential.

### 5.2.2 Establishment of Transmission Charges and Terms of Service

FERC determines the rates, terms and conditions of service for transmission of electrical energy in interstate commerce pursuant to its authority under the FPA.

Wholesale rates are set according to Sections 205 and 206 of the FPA. A rate case can be initiated by a utility filing for a rate change, by complaint from another person or entity, or by FERC's own initiative. Upon hearing, FERC will determine whether the utility's proposed rate is just and reasonable or make appropriate modifi-

cations to the rate as necessary (16 U.S.C. Section 824e).

### Establishing Rates through Formulas

FERC's policy is to permit utilities to establish rates through formulas. FERC will generally approve of or formulate new rates that are based on the utility's cost of service, to balance the interests of the utility and its customers. Under this approach, the aggregate costs – such as a reasonable return on investment – for providing each class of service are determined, and prices are set to recover those costs. FERC generally uses the following formula, derived from a 12-month test period, to determine cost of service:  $E + d + T + (V - D)R$ , where:

- E = operating expense – utilities are generally entitled to recover prudently incurred operating expenses that relate to the provision of wholesale service;
- d = depreciation expense – depreciation means the loss in service value not restored by current maintenance that is incurred in the course of service;
- T = taxes – certain tax expenses associated with cost of service revenues;
- V = gross value of property – facility cost plus including working capital;
- D = accrued depreciation – depreciation of assets;
- R = overall rate of return – sufficient to allow the utility to maintain financial integrity, attract additional capital and earn a return comparable to similarly situated companies.

In May 2020, FERC issued Opinion No 569-A, which accepts the use of an alternative model – the “Risk Premium Model” – for determining whether a rate of return on equity is just and reasonable under Section 206 of the FPA.

## Rehearing the Case

If any party to a FERC hearing is aggrieved by or does not agree with the result of FERC's order on the hearing, that party may request that FERC rehear the case. If FERC does not act on the request for a rehearing within 30 days, the request is deemed denied.

After FERC issues an order upon rehearing, the parties to the hearing have the right to petition the United States Court of Appeals for review of the order, typically the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit, or the jurisdiction in which the utility has its principal place of business.

FERC has authority to take in and resolve complaints by assigning the case to alternative dispute resolution, issuing an order on the merits based upon the pleadings, or establishing a hearing before an administrative law judge.

### 5.2.3 Open-Access Transmission Service

Pursuant to a series of FERC Orders first promulgated in 1996, transmission services must be provided on a non-discriminatory and open-access basis.

Starting with the EPAct, which encouraged FERC to foster competition in wholesale energy markets, FERC issued three key orders to require open access to transmission facilities.

- Order No 888, issued in April 1996, required all public utilities that owned, controlled or operated facilities used for transmitting electrical energy in interstate commerce to file OATTs. Order No 888 permitted public utilities and transmitting utilities to seek recovery of legitimate, prudent and verifiable stranded costs associated with providing such open access.
- Order No 889 required all public utilities that own, control or operate facilities used for

transmitting electrical energy in interstate commerce to participate in an OASIS to provide actual and potential open access transmission customers with information that would enable them to obtain open access non-discriminatory service.

- Order No 890 was issued in February 2007 to strengthen the OATT, reduce opportunities for undue discrimination, facilitate FERC's enforcement and increase overall transparency. Issued in July 2011, Order No 1000 amended Order 890 by requiring public utility transmission providers to participate in a regional transmission planning process that produces a regional transmission plan.

## 6. DISTRIBUTION

### 6.1 Regulation of Construction and Operation of Electricity Distribution Facilities

#### 6.1.1 Principal Laws Governing the Construction and Operation of Electricity Distribution Facilities

The distribution system is primarily governed and regulated at the state level. State law and state utility commission regulations govern the methods and standards by which prudent distribution system investments are recovered in a utility's rate base or through other appropriate mechanisms. Construction, siting, zoning and other land use considerations and approvals generally fall within the purview of relevant city, county, and municipal authorities, which vary significantly by state.

#### 6.1.2 Regulatory Process for Obtaining Approvals to Construct and Operate Distribution Facilities

While the substantive and procedural regulatory process for constructing and operating distribution facilities varies by state, state utility

commission regulations generally focus on compliance with reliability, operational and safety standards. While some state utility commissions have authority over the siting and approval of permits for the construction of distribution infrastructure, most states require the involvement and/or approval of multiple agencies, beyond the state utility commission, to review environmental, cultural, historical, technical and economic impacts.

Generally, FERC plays a limited role in distribution infrastructure development, only becoming involved to the extent that there is a jurisdictional question regarding the facility's status as a distribution or transmission facility, or if the facility implicates a federal law under the purview of FERC's jurisdiction.

#### **Public Participation**

Public participation and input may be permitted in accordance with applicable state and local laws. Similar to the federal processes, state law may require a public hearing, and the overseeing state agency or state utility commission may solicit public comments. Most state utility commissions have an online public docketing portal where applications, notices, comments, petitions, rulings and orders are posted.

Depending on the state and the type of distribution facility being proposed, a utility or developer may need to file advance notice of a proposed facility, which may be subject to public comment. Timing of distribution system approvals may depend on state-specific public notice and comment requirements, utility rate case schedules, local government involvement, and state policy and regulation.

#### **6.1.3 Terms and Conditions Imposed in Approvals to Construct and Operate**

The terms and conditions of distribution facility approval vary based on state regulations and market structures. In vertically integrated states, a state utility commission typically requires the distribution facility applicant to demonstrate that a facility is necessary, prudent, in the public interest, and just and reasonable in light of current market conditions and state policy objectives. Approval may be conditional upon compliance with certain safety, environmental, engineering and public interest standards.

#### **6.1.4 Proponent's Eminent Domain, Condemnation or Expropriation Rights**

The power of eminent domain, condemnation and expropriation is commonly granted to electrical energy distribution facility applicants upon review and approval of their construction and operation application. However, depending on the applicable state laws governing eminent domain, the rights of the distribution facility applicant will vary.

A distribution facility or utility exercising its right of eminent domain must provide just compensation for the property being condemned.

#### **6.1.5 Distribution Service Monopoly Rights**

In most states, utilities have geographically defined service territories, provided for by state legislation or regulation, within which the utility has monopoly rights to provide a distribution service. Exceptions may exist in some states for competitive market participants, depending on state law and regulation. The degree to which monopoly service rights exist, the extent of deregulation, the method by which such rights are modified and the opportunity for competitive market participants to compete within those service territories varies significantly by state.

## 6.2 Regulation of Distribution Service, Charges and Terms of Service

### 6.2.1 Principal Laws Governing the Provision of Distribution Service, Regulation of Distribution Charges and Terms of Service

The primary authority over electrical energy distribution is each state's utility commission, which typically has broad authority to ensure just and reasonable rates, terms and conditions of distribution service in accordance with state legislation, regulation and promulgated rules.

### 6.2.2 Establishment of Distribution Charges and Terms of Service

FERC imposes a functional test for the case-by-case determination of whether a facility is providing interstate transmission service or local distribution service, but generally defers to states' interpretation and application of those factors in making its determination. State utility commissions have jurisdiction over rates and terms of service for retail distribution-level utility service. Generally, the rate-making process is designed to balance the utility company's opportunity to earn a fair return on its investments and the customer's interest in receiving a safe, reliable service at just and reasonable rates.

### State Utility Commission

For utilities with rates that are regulated by a state utility commission, rates are generally set through regulatory proceedings following submission of a request to increase base rates, along with written supporting testimony and evidence. The state utility commission, along with interested parties that seek to intervene, may propound interrogatories and/or requests for information on the utility and vice versa. Generally, parties will brief their positions and the rate case may settle if a sufficient number of parties agree to a joint settlement, or the case may proceed to formal hearings.

In most states, the utility rate case documents are posted on a public docketing database, unless they are confidential or protected pursuant to state regulations and state utility commission rules. The process, frequency, duration and timeframe for rate cases depend on the state in which the distribution facility is located and the utility tariffs that seek to be modified, but the process generally ranges from eight to 12 months and results in an order covering one or more years.

### Cost-of-Service Regulatory Model

Most states operate under a cost-of-service regulatory model whereby the regulator determines the utility's revenue requirement that reflects the total amount that must be collected from customers in rates for the utility to recover its reasonable and necessary expenses, as well as earn a reasonable return on investment. The revenue requirement is generally derived through a formula that accounts for the utility's rate base, a fair rate of return, operating costs, depreciation expenses, taxes and other costs. The treatment of electricity supply, among other items, will vary depending on the degree to which states have restructured their electricity market.

While states may have different approaches to calculating a rate of return, the rate should be sufficient to maintain the financial integrity of the utility, enable the attraction of additional capital and be equal to that earned by other companies with comparable risk profiles. Depreciation rates are approved by state utility commissions upon review and consideration of depreciation studies, which are generally performed by depreciation consultants and supported with expert testimony in rate case proceedings. Some states have adopted alternative rate-making methodologies that are focused on incremental rate recovery, performance-based metrics and other adjustment mechanisms that vary by state.

### **Reconsideration of Utility Rates**

Following issuance of a formal ruling or order on a utility's rate request, a utility or interested party may request a rehearing or reconsideration depending on state law and regulation. Once a final agency determination has been reached, and all administrative remedies have been exhausted, an entity may appeal the decision to the applicable state court for judicial review.

**Phillips Lytle LLP** is a premier regional firm with a fast-paced energy practice providing cutting-edge expertise to various developers, owners, pipeline companies, retail energy suppliers, utilities, and financial partners involved in renewable and other energy projects. With six offices across New York State and offices in Washington, DC, and Ontario, Canada, Phillips Lytle's energy practice spans New York State Public Service Commission (PSC) and utility regulatory, siting, zoning and environmental, solar, wind, brownfield and landfill renewable energy

projects, energy storage, incentives, bonds and public finance, power purchase agreements, solar leases, microgrids, hydro, biomass, retail energy industry, enforcement and investigations, and litigation and dispute resolution. With the increased demand for energy expertise beyond the legal realm, the firm established Phillips Lytle Energy Consulting Services to help navigate the complex policies in the energy industry and provide guidance for project development, transactional support, energy policy, regulatory counselling and procurement consulting.

### AUTHORS



**David P Flynn** is a partner at Phillips Lytle LLP and the leader of both its energy and environment practice teams. With respect to energy, he advises clients on the financing,

development and siting of solar, storage and wind projects, as well as the licensing of hydropower projects. David regularly speaks on energy topics across New York State and has strong connections with major energy and energy-related organisations, including the American Council on Renewable Energy (ACORE), The Business Council of New York State, Inc, and Incubators for Collaborating & Leveraging Energy and Nanotechnology (iCLEAN).



**Thomas F Puchner** is a partner at Phillips Lytle LLP and co-leader of the energy practice team. He focuses on energy law, with an emphasis on regulatory matters, compliance, siting and

regulatory litigation. Thomas frequently assists clients with matters before the PSC (and other state utility commissions), the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, the New York Independent System Operator and the courts. He regularly advises on matters related to development of renewable energy projects, including zoning approvals and SEQRA compliance, as well as proceedings under Public Service Law Article 10 (generation siting) and Article VII (transmission siting).

*Contributed by: David P Flynn, Thomas F Puchner, Kevin C Blake and Dennis W Elsenbeck, Phillips Lytle LLP*



**Kevin C Blake** is a senior associate at Phillips Lytle LLP who focuses on energy law, including advising clients on the regulation of electricity, natural gas and telecommunications

before state and federal authorities. He is regularly involved in matters before the PSC relating to regulatory oversight of distributed energy resource providers, solar and storage developers, and retail electricity and gas suppliers. He has also been involved in electricity and gas utility rate cases, matters involving electric vehicle charging infrastructure programmes, renewable energy credits and energy efficiency programmes, among other matters involving grid modernisation and the transformation of the electricity and gas industries.



**Dennis W Elsenbeck** is a consultant with Phillips Lytle Energy Consulting Services. He consults on energy opportunities encompassing a forward view of generation, distribution and

demand options. He assists clients with due diligence, translation and regulatory compliance on energy transactions, PSC proceedings and utility negotiations within all market sectors; strategic energy planning for clean energy application for commerce, industry, developers and municipalities; climate change impact preparation related to carbon penalty and incentive trends; and market-focused distributed energy resource solutions. Dennis is a member of the NYS Climate Action Council developing the Scoping Document for the Climate Leadership and Community Protection Act (CLCPA).

---

## Phillips Lytle LLP

One Canalside  
125 Main Street  
Buffalo  
New York  
14203  
USA

Tel: +1 716 847 8400  
Fax: +1 716 852 6100  
Email: [info@phillipslytle.com](mailto:info@phillipslytle.com)  
Web: [www.phillipslytle.com](http://www.phillipslytle.com)



**Phillips Lytle** LLP